1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	CHRISTOPHER OTEY,	No. C 12-5524 JST (MEJ)
8	Plaintiff, v.	DISCOVERY ORDER RE: DKT. NO. 118
9	CROWDFLOWER, INC., et al.,	
10	Defendants.	
11	/	
12		
13	Before the Court is the parties' joint discovery dispute letter, filed June 14, 2013. Dkt. No.	
14	118. The letter concerns CrowdFlower's request that Plaintiff be compelled to appear for deposition	
15	for an additional two hours and answer questions pertaining to work performed for other Requester	
16	and employers <i>Id.</i> at 6. For the reasons stated in the Court's April 11, 2013 Order, Plaintiff's other	
17	employment or receipt of other income is irrelevant to the question of whether Plaintiff was	
18	Defendants' employee or whether he was an independent contractor. See Order at 2:19-3:7, Dkt.	
19	No. 85. Accordingly, Defendants' request is DENIED because it is not likely to lead to the	
20	discovery of relevant evidence.	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED	
22		M -
23	Dated: June 24, 2013	
24		Maria-Elena James United States Magistrate Judge
25		Cinica States Magistrate Valage